

Please Note:

This trial test is **not** a live ESOL examination. The scores provided may not reflect the results your students receive in a live ESOL examination.

Candidate Feedback - Writing Trial Test			
Centre Name	College of Languages	Exam	FCE For Schools
Pretesting Centre No.	1375	Version	TT2102

Candidate Name	Content	Communicative Achievement	Organisation	Language
1. Piotr Cholas	4	3	3	2
COMMENTS: The candidate has addressed the necessary content and has attempted to develop content so as to inform the reader. There is a discernible beginning, middle and end to the essay, but the target reader is only adequately informed, rather than well informed. Organisation into paragraphs and into the basic essay structure is satisfactory (low band 3) but there needs to be a lot more cohesion for the higher bands. Range of language and accuracy keep language in band 2. Sentences are rather simple in structure and range of vocabulary and structures are limited. Good luck in the exam!				
2. Justina Kowierska	4	3	2	2
COMMENTS: The candidate has addressed the necessary content and has attempted to develop content so as to inform the reader. There is a discernible beginning, middle and end to the essay. The candidate has used three main content ideas and this is a constructive way to go about developing an essay. Organisation into paragraphs and into the basic essay structure is satisfactory but there needs to be a lot more cohesion for the higher bands and the answer could be more concise, tighter in structure. Range of language is promising but errors keep language in band 2. Good luck in the exam!				
3. Malgorzata Micinska	3	2	3	2
COMMENTS: "The last things which is gonna to be different is the reform of education" - sentences like this keep language in band 2. It should be "The last thing which is going to be different will concern the reform of education". The candidate has addressed content in a rather flimsy way - talking about the director no longer being alive and changes to the appearance of the school, rather than discussing more serious conceptual concerns. Organisation is satisfactory but paragraphs could be more developed. Good luck in the exam!				
4. Maja Szczehovicz	2	2	1	1
COMMENTS: "Because computer become better and more ecologic it seems unkind but less paper means less wood" - meaning is obscured by poor sentence structure. Organisation is in need of attention because there is a lack of cohesion and paragraphs are not well developed. Range of vocabulary and structures is limited. In order to ensure sentences are structured so as to enhance, rather than obscure, meaning the candidate needs to read more English, so as to get a better idea of word order. Good luck in the exam!				
5. Damien Habiera	2	2	1	1
COMMENTS: Meaning is obscured by poor sentence structure. It is rather difficult to understand the answer. Legibility is rather poor and there is some irrelevancy. Organisation is in need of attention because there is a lack of cohesion and paragraphs are not well developed. Range of vocabulary and structures is limited. In order to ensure sentences are structured so as to enhance, rather than obscure, meaning the candidate needs to read more English, so as to get a better idea of word order. Good luck in the exam!				

Candidate Name	Content	Communicative Achievement	Organisation	Language
6. Bartłomiej Sekowski	4	4	3	3
COMMENTS: The candidate has addressed the necessary content and has attempted to develop content so as to inform the reader. There is a discernible beginning, middle and end to the essay and some interesting points have been addressed. The target reader is well informed. Organisation into paragraphs and into the basic essay structure is satisfactory but there needs to be a lot more cohesion for the higher bands. Range of language and accuracy are satisfactory for the level. Good luck in the exam!				
7. Natalia Hubner	2	2	3	2
COMMENTS: The candidate has addressed content in a rather flimsy way - rather than discussing more serious conceptual concerns the candidate has, instead, glossed over a few basic points. Organisation is satisfactory but paragraphs could be more developed and there would need to be a more concerted attempt to craft a coherent whole for the higher bands. Accuracy is in need of attention - meaning is not always easy to decipher. Good luck in the exam!				
8. Anna Sumera	3	3	2	2
COMMENTS: The candidate has addressed the necessary content and has attempted to develop content so as to inform the reader but there is insufficient material to fully develop an informative answer. There could be more of a discernible beginning, middle and end to the essay. Organisation into paragraphs and into the basic essay structure is satisfactory but there needs to be a lot more cohesion for the higher bands and the answer could be more developed as well as being tighter in structure. Range of language is promising but errors keep language in band 2. Good luck in the exam!				
9. Lukasz Chmurzynski	4	4	3	3
COMMENTS: The candidate has addressed the necessary content and has attempted to develop content so as to inform the reader. There is a discernible beginning, middle and end to the essay, and the target reader is well informed. The candidate makes some interesting points. Organisation into paragraphs and into the basic essay structure is satisfactory but there needs to be more cohesion for the higher bands. Range of language and accuracy are promising. The candidate has quite good command of language, but sentences would need to be more complex for the higher bands. Good luck in the exam!				
10. Aleksandra Pasza	5	4	4	4
COMMENTS: The candidate has made a valiant attempt to construct a well developed and informative answer. Content points are well addressed and the essay is reasonably clear. Organisation into paragraphs is good and the candidate has clearly identified three main points to the essay. There is some cohesion and it does read as a coherent whole. Organisation is low band 4. Range of language and structures is pleasing. Good luck in the exam!				
11. Zaklina Rybinska-Krolik	3	3	2	3
COMMENTS: The candidate has addressed the necessary content and has attempted to develop content so as to inform the reader. There needs to be a discernible beginning, middle and end to the essay. The essay starts off with a fair bit of irrelevancy before the candidate begins to focus on schools of the future. There needs to be a lot more cohesion for the higher bands and the answer could be more concise, tighter in structure. Range of language is adequate but sentence structure requires attention. Good luck in the exam!				

Candidate Name	Content	Communicative Achievement	Organisation	Language
12. Krzysztof Gladera	2	2	2	2
COMMENTS: The candidate has addressed content in a rather flimsy way - rather than discussing more serious conceptual concerns the candidate has, instead, glossed over a few basic points. Organisation lacks cohesion - paragraphs could be more developed and there would need to be a more concerted attempt to craft a coherent whole for the higher bands Accuracy is in need of attention. Reading more written English ought to help in word order issues. Verb tense usage is often awkward: for example, "We will be having laptops or tablets". Good luck in the exam!				
13. Malwina Golalka	3	2	3	2
COMMENTS: The candidate has addressed the necessary content and has attempted to develop content so as to inform the reader, but the answer relies on very simple points. There needs to be a more discernible beginning, middle and end to the essay and an attempt to develop more serious conceptual material. There needs to be a lot more cohesion for the higher bands. Range of language is adequate but sentence structure requires attention. Spelling errors like 'maby', instead of 'maybe', keep language in band 2 Good luck in the exam!				
14. Kamila Laka	3	2	3	2
COMMENTS: The candidate has addressed the necessary content and has attempted to develop content so as to inform the reader, but the answer relies on very simple points, mainly to do with modernisation of the building and writing materials. There needs to be a more discernible beginning, middle and end to the essay and an attempt to develop more serious conceptual material. There needs to be a lot more cohesion for the higher bands. Range of language is adequate but sentence structure requires attention. Spelling errors like 'maby', instead of 'maybe', keep language in band 2. Good luck in the exam!				
15. Wojciech Strzelecki	5	5	4	4
COMMENTS: This is a good answer - the candidate has used essay format well and has really attempted to develop some interesting content points into a coherent whole. Organisation into paragraphs is good and the candidate is clearly comfortable in use of English. Punctuation could be better employed to embed clauses and so on. Range of language and accuracy are promising. The candidate shows a lot of promise. Good luck in the exam!				
16. Piotr Szymczak	4	2	3	3
COMMENTS: The candidate has addressed the necessary content and has attempted to develop content so as to inform the reader. Some interesting points are made and the candidate has a novel and rather forthright way of tackling concepts. However, it is not natural written English for the entire answer to be in upper case. There also needs to be a more discernible beginning, middle and end to the essay. There needs to be a lot more cohesion for the higher bands. Range of language is promising (it is good to see words like 'methinks') but sentence structure requires attention. Good luck in the exam!				
17. Adam Ostrowski	4	3	4	3
COMMENTS: The candidate has addressed the necessary content and has attempted to develop content so as to inform the reader. There is a discernible beginning, middle and end to the essay, and the target reader is well informed. The candidate makes some interesting points. Organisation just slips into band 4 because the candidate uses a wide range of cohesive devices and linking words and expressions to craft a coherent essay. Range of language and accuracy are promising. The candidate has quite good command of language, but sentences would need to be more complex for the higher bands. Good luck in the exam!				

Candidate Name	Content	Communicative Achievement	Organisation	Language
18. Nina Lewandowska	4	4	3	2
COMMENTS: The candidate has addressed the necessary content and has attempted to develop content so as to inform the reader. There is a discernible beginning, middle and end to the essay, and the target reader is well informed. The candidate makes some interesting points. Organisation into paragraphs and into the basic essay structure is satisfactory but there needs to be more cohesion for the higher bands. Range of language and accuracy are limited and spelling and word order need attention: "Secoundly, there will appear some changes in education" should be "Secondly, some changes in education will arise ..." Good luck in the exam!				
19. Marcin Smolarz	4	3	3	3
COMMENTS: This is a promising answer, in that the candidate has attempted to develop an informative essay, which cover some interesting points. However, legibility is something of an issue and punctuation could be used to construct better sentences. Although paragraphing is satisfactory, there needs to be more cohesion in structure. Range of language is fine, but sentences are often awkward and meaning is sometimes obscured by unnecessarily wordy sentences. Good luck in the exam!				
20. Sandra Gadulka	3	3	3	3
COMMENTS: The candidate has addressed the necessary content and has attempted to develop content so as to inform the reader, but the answer relies on very simple points, and there is some irrelevancy. There needs to be a more discernible beginning, middle and end to the essay and an attempt to develop more serious conceptual material. There needs to be a lot more cohesion for the higher bands and better use of punctuation would also help. Range of language is adequate but sentence structure requires attention. Good luck in the exam!				
21. Piotr Tomaszewski	5	4	4	4
COMMENTS: This is a good answer - the candidate has used essay format well and has really attempted to develop some interesting content points into a coherent whole. Organisation into paragraphs is good and the candidate is clearly comfortable in use of English. Punctuation could be better employed to embed clauses and so on. Range of language and accuracy are promising. The language flows quite well, although there could be more complexity in sentence structure. The candidate shows a lot of promise. Good luck in the exam!				
22. Slawek Mendel	3	3	2	2
COMMENTS: The candidate has attempted to develop content so as to inform the reader but there is insufficient material to fully develop an informative answer. There could be more of a discernible beginning, middle and end to the essay. Organisation into paragraphs and into the basic essay structure is far too simple - there needs to be a lot more cohesion for the higher bands and the answer could be more developed as well as being tighter in structure. Range of language is promising but errors keep language in band 2. It is not a good idea to miss upper and lower case as in "In Future ..." (this should be "In future ...") Good luck in the exam!				
23. Bartosz Nowak	4	3	3	2
COMMENTS: This is a promising answer, in that the candidate has attempted to develop an informative essay, which covers some interesting points. However, essay format could be employed more usefully - with a more discernible beginning, middle and end. Punctuation could be used to construct better sentences also. Although paragraphing is satisfactory, there needs to be more cohesion in structure. Range of language is limited and sentences are often awkward in structure. Good luck in the exam!				

Candidate Name	Content	Communicative Achievement	Organisation	Language
24. Maja Sokotowska	2	2	2	2
<p>COMMENTS: The candidate has addressed content in a rather flimsy way - rather than discussing more serious conceptual concerns the candidate has, instead, glossed over a few basic points. Organisation is limited, with paragraphs having only one or two sentences. Punctuation within sentences is also lacking. A more concerted attempt to craft a coherent whole is necessary for the higher bands. Accuracy is in need of attention - meaning is not always easy to decipher. Good luck in the exam!</p>				
25. Karolina Rubajczyk	5	4	3	3
<p>COMMENTS: This is a reasonably good answer - the candidate has used essay format well and has really attempted to develop some interesting content points into a coherent whole. Organisation into paragraphs is quite good and the candidate is clearly comfortable in use of English. Punctuation could be better employed to embed clauses and so on. Range of language and accuracy are promising. The candidate shows a lot of promise. Good luck in the exam!</p>				