

Please Note:

This trial test is **not** a live ESOL examination. The scores provided may not reflect the results your students receive in a live ESOL examination.

Candidate Feedback - Writing Trial Test			
Centre Name	College of Languages	Exam	CAE
Pretesting Centre No.	1375	Version	TT402

Candidate Name	Content	Communicative Achievement	Organisation	Language
1. Marta Bubas	5	2	3	2
COMMENTS: You have put forward some good reasons why museums should receive the money and written a well balanced essay, which is organised into suitable paragraphs. You could work on developing the range of vocabulary you are able to use appropriately, for example, have a look at the difference between 'profits' and 'benefits'. It is also important to check your work for basic errors when you have finished writing, for example, in the use of the article and the difference between 'they' and 'their'.				
2. Anna Bednarek	5	2	2	1
COMMENTS: You have addressed the question fully and given a number of reasons why parks rather than sports centres should receive the money. Your essay is well balanced and you have organised your ideas in suitable paragraphs. However, you could do some work on developing the range of words and phrases you use to link your ideas, particularly when you want to introduce an opposite idea. There are also a number of basic errors in your writing, for example in the use of the article, singular and plural forms and spelling, so make sure you check your work carefully for this.				
3. Agata Czyzeuska	4	3	2	2
COMMENTS: You have put forward some good ideas for investing money in sports centres. However, but it might have been better to have combined the part about the disadvantages and advantages of each place, clearly showing that the advantages outweigh the disadvantages and vice versa, rather than dealing with this in separate paragraphs at the end of your essay. There are a number of basic errors in your work, particularly in singular and plural forms, so make sure you check your work carefully for this.				
4. Jakub Matusiak	5	3	3	2
COMMENTS: You have addressed the task fully and put forward some good reasons why sports centres rather than museums should be supported financially. The point about citizens not caring about money in the section about sports centres is a little confusing, however, so make sure all your points are expressed clearly. You could work on developing the range of vocabulary you use, so that you are able to make the appropriate choice of word.				
5. Jan Rafalski	5	3	3	2
COMMENTS: You have put forward strong arguments in favour of the money going to public gardens and your essay is well organised in suitable paragraphs and well balanced. Although you generally use a reasonable range of grammatical structures, you could work on developing the range of vocabulary you are able to use appropriately, as there is some inappropriate word choice. You should also check your work for small basic errors, such as in the use of the article, in verb forms and other slips.				

Candidate Name	Content	Communicative Achievement	Organisation	Language
6. Pawek Madalinski	5	1	2	0
COMMENTS: You obviously have some interesting views on this subject, and you have organised your writing into suitable paragraphs, with the result that your essay is well balanced. However, there are too many basic errors, which means that the language you use is unfortunately below CAE level. It would be a good idea to do a complete grammar review and work on this area, so that you are able to communicate your good ideas clearly. Make sure you check your work for such errors and for spelling.				
7. Igor Zaton	5	2	3	2
COMMENTS: You have put forward some strong arguments for the local authority investing in sports centres rather than museums, and you have organised your ideas well. You could work on developing the range of grammar and vocabulary you use, as at times your message is less than clear. First of all, you have sometimes chosen the wrong word - you should have used 'investment', for example, and it would also be a good idea to work on the verb forms used in conditional sentences.				
8. Magdalena Cieczotka	4	2	3	2
COMMENTS: You have suggested some good reasons why sports centres should be supported financially, but your reasons why parks should not be supported are rather confusing - it is not really clear why people should suffer a wide range of illnesses through going to a park, nor why going there would be a waste of money. At times, the language you use is rather personal for an essay. Although your writing shows promise, there are a number of errors, so make sure you check carefully to avoid this.				
9. Aleksander Ciesielski	5	2	3	2
COMMENTS: You have addressed the task fully and this is a thoughtful and well argued essay. You have organised your ideas well and you have communicated your ideas clearly. Your writing shows promise, but there are some basic errors, for example in the use of the article, in verb forms and also at times in word choice - you should have used the word 'investment', for example. Make sure you check your writing carefully when you have finished to avoid this.				
10. Wiktoria Kujawa	5	2	3	1
COMMENTS: You have put forward some good reasons why sports centres, rather than public gardens should receive money from the local authorities, although it would be a good idea to check up on how 'received' is used. Your ideas are well organised. There are rather a lot of basic errors that you could perhaps have avoided if you had checked your work more carefully. You should watch out for errors in the use of the article, the correct use of words and that verb forms are correct (particularly singulars and plurals).				
11. Edyta Matasziewicz	5	2	2	2
COMMENTS: You have addressed the task fully and given a number of good reasons why sports centres and not museums should receive the money from local authorities. Your writing shows promise, but you should work on developing the range of words and phrases you use to introduce and link your ideas, so that you can present your ideas appropriately in a more complex way. You should also work on the use of the article, as this would help improve your style. If you work on these areas and keep up the work you are doing on vocabulary, you should be able to 'lift' your level.				

Candidate Name	Content	Communicative Achievement	Organisation	Language
12. Marcin Mordaka	3	2	2	1
COMMENTS: Although it is clear that you support the money going to sports centres rather than museums, there are some irrelevant details, for example, the essay was not really about tourist attractions, but local facilities, so the point about going abroad to visit a museum was also not appropriate. It is a good idea to make sure you are clear about what the task is asking you to do before you start writing. It would be a good idea to do a complete review of grammar, for example, verb forms and the use of the article, so that your writing is of an appropriate level for CAE and your message is clear.				
13. Szymon Cywinski	3	2	2	2
COMMENTS: Your introduction was interesting but you should have made it clearer why public gardens deserved to receive the money rather than sports centres, as you did not really make this clear. How would this benefit the local authority much more? Your style is appealing and your writing shows promise, so it would be a good idea to work on developing the range of linking words and phrases you can use appropriately. Make sure you check your writing for errors in word choice and verb forms.				
14. Ewa Szymanska	5	5	3	3
COMMENTS: This is a clear and effective piece of writing, which addresses the task fully. You put forward some mature and convincing arguments for investing in museums and not parks. You use a good range of language, but you do need to be careful not to make too many basic errors, which could have a negative effect on your mark. Make sure you leave time to check for singulars and plurals, the use of the article and verb forms, for example.				
15. Anna Kocik	5	3	3	2
COMMENTS: You have done the task well and put forward some good ideas as to why sports centres should be supported and argued the case clearly. You have a very good basis to work from and now it would be a good idea to concentrate on expanding the range of grammar and vocabulary you are able to use accurately. You could work on the use of the article, question forms and word order, for example.				
16. Marta Manka	5	2	2	3
COMMENTS: You have argued clearly in support of funding for public gardens. You generally use a good range of grammatical structures (although you should have written 'should be given', rather than 'should have been given'). However, you do not use many linking words and phrases to introduce and link your ideas. You tend to write a series of short sentences, which means that your writing does not 'flow' and makes it appear too simple, although your ideas are not, in fact, simple. If you worked on this area, your mark should improve.				
17. Maria Jama	4	2	3	2
COMMENTS: You have put forward some good ideas as to why sports centres, rather than museums, deserve financial support, although at times, because of inappropriate word choice, your message is less than clear. You should work on developing your vocabulary so that you use the correct word, and use it in the correct way. It is always worth checking through your writing when you have finished for clarity of message.				

Candidate Name	Content	Communicative Achievement	Organisation	Language
18. Monika Korzepa	5	4	3	3
COMMENTS: You have put forward some strong arguments for investing money in museums, giving some very good ideas to help increase their popularity. You could perhaps have developed your arguments as to why sports centres do not deserve the money to make your essay a little better balanced. Your ideas are, on the whole, clear to follow, although make sure you check your work to make sure your message is clear in all cases, and to check for small errors.				
19. Katarzyna Pawlak	5	3	2	3
COMMENTS: You have some very good reasons for supporting sports centres financially, and the language you use is generally of an appropriate level. However, your answer is very long and the organisation of your ideas suffers. As a result, your message becomes a little confused. It might be helpful if you made a plan first before writing, so that your answer remains more focused. If you wrote less, you could also concentrate on the accuracy of the language a little more by checking carefully for errors when you have finished.				
20. Martyna Bosiak	5	3	3	3
COMMENTS: You have suggested a lot of valid reasons why sports centres, rather than museums should be supported. You have a lively approach to your writing. At times, however, your message is a little confused, so make sure you read through your writing carefully for clarity, focusing on the way you introduce and link your ideas, in particular. This will also help you to avoid errors that confuse your meaning.				
21. Julia Nowakowska	4	1	3	1
COMMENTS: You have given some good reasons why parks are beneficial, although you could have made it clearer why museums in general are not worthy of receiving the money. You use an impressive range of vocabulary, although it is important that vocabulary is used appropriately, as it became rather difficult to follow your meaning in this essay. Please note that when writing an essay, it is not standard to start with a very informal and personal introduction.				
22. Amelia Kasprzak	5	4	4	4
COMMENTS: This is an interesting and lively essay that communicates your ideas on the importance of the arts well, and gives some convincing ideas on why museums should receive the money. It might have been slightly better to have developed the part about sports centres a little more fully. The range of language you use is good, particularly your use of vocabulary. Do make sure you make the correct word choice, however; for example, check up on the difference between 'lack' and 'luck'.				
23. Karolina Bosiak	5	2	2	1
COMMENTS: You have covered all the points required and argued strongly in favour of sports centres. However, there are a number of errors in word choice and in word order that make your meaning less than clear, so that your message is quite difficult to follow. It would be a good idea to work on developing your vocabulary so that you can make the appropriate word choice, and make sure you read your writing through for clarity of meaning. It would also be helpful if you could make your handwriting clearer, as it is difficult to make out some of the words that you use without a lot of effort.				

Candidate Name	Content	Communicative Achievement	Organisation	Language
24. Oliwia Majsterek	4	3	3	2
COMMENTS: You have put forward some convincing ideas about the benefits of attending sports centres, although you have not really developed the idea of the authorities giving financial support to these centres. You need to address the required points explicitly. There are a number of basic errors, such as in the use of the article, tenses and word choice. Make sure you leave enough time to check through your writing for errors of this sort, particularly since you do otherwise use some good vocabulary.				
25. Maja Matusiak	5	3	3	3
COMMENTS: This is clearly argued and you have suggested some good reasons why museums should receive the money rather than sports centres. Your writing is generally well organised, although you could work on developing the range of words and phrases you use to introduce and link your ideas. There are also a number of errors, and you need to try and avoid these, so make sure you check your work carefully for the correct use of the article, the correct word choice and spelling.				
26. Oliwia Przybylska	2	2	2	2
COMMENTS: It is clear that you support investment in sports centres, but overall your message is rather confusing. It would be a good idea to make a plan before you start writing to organise your ideas. First of all, you should have discussed two of the facilities in your area, but you only just mentioned the public gardens. Your essay includes a number of interesting ideas, but unfortunately lacks focus. If you kept within the word limit, you would have time to organise your ideas before you start writing and check carefully for errors when you have finished. It is worth doing this, as your writing shows promise.				
27. Anna Fatek	5	3	3	3
COMMENTS: This is a clear and well argued essay and you use an appropriate range of language for the level. It is a well balanced piece of writing and you have organised your ideas clearly. Your use of vocabulary is ambitious; however, it is important to check your work carefully when you have finished writing, for errors in the use of the article, the correct word form and mistakes in singular and plural forms.				
28. Paulina Mrowicka	5	4	3	3
COMMENTS: You have clearly argued the case for supporting sports centres financially rather than museums. You use an appropriate range of language for the level, although don't forget to check for small errors, particularly in the use of the article. Your work is also well organised, although you should check up on how the phrase 'as far as' is used.				
29. Alicja Bartosik	5	2	3	2
COMMENTS: You have clearly argued for the benefits of investing in sports centres and why public gardens should not be invested in. You use an ambitious range of vocabulary, although at times your word choice is inappropriate or you have chosen the wrong form of the word, which makes your meaning less than clear. It would be a good idea to keep up your study of vocabulary, and don't forget to check your writing for errors in the use of the article, singulars and plurals and tense forms.				
30. Jolanta Pycha	5	2	2	1
COMMENTS: You have some good reasons for the need for investment in public gardens and your writing shows promise. However, at times your message is rather confusing. This is partly because, although the vocabulary you use is ambitious, you do sometimes make the wrong word choice, which obscures your meaning. If you planned your work carefully before you started writing, this might help you organise your ideas more logically, so that the ideas you are putting forward are expressed clearly.				